

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,038	11/02/2001	Anthony Lemus	ASP-46	4433	
27777	7590 05/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			CROSS, LATOYA I		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			1743	* '	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/002,038	LEMUS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LaToya I. Cross	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	ovember 2001.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is/a		-			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· · ·			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
		•	•			
Attachment	c(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔀 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4-1-02 8-8-03	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	•			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS Applicants filed on April 1, 2002 and August 8, 2003 have been considered. However, entries for the European Search Report and related application 09/741,594 have been lined through because these items are not printed on the issued patent. Copies of the initialed IDS are included herewith.

Drawings

- This application lacks formal drawings. The informal drawings filed in this application are acceptable for examination purposes. When the application is allowed, applicant will be required to submit new formal drawings.
- 2. In submitting the new formal drawings, Applicants should note the following:
 - a. The use of "Concepts" 1-10 on drawing pages 2-4 is confusing. Applicants should consider labeling each concept as a figure because, for example, it is unclear if Figure 2 refers to the combination of concept 4 and concept 5 or if each concept should be labeled separately.
 - b. Applicants should be consistent in labeling each structure in the figures. For example in some figures B1 denotes the indicator and in other figures, the indicator is denoted with another number.
 - c. In figure 1, it is unclear as to what reference character (16) is pointing to.

Claim Observations

- In claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for "the openings".

Application/Control Number: 10/002,038

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 11-15, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,830,683 to Hendricks et al.

Hendricks et al teach an indicator system for determination of sterilization. In one embodiment, the indicator system comprises a container (10) having an opening (11) at one end. A sterilization indicator agent is placed into the container. The sterilization indicator agent may be a chemical indicator (col. 10, lines 64-67) or a biological indicator (col. 8, lines 63-67), as recited in claims 2 and 3. Hendricks et al teaches that a compressible material is placed as a plug (foam insert) in the opening of the container. The plug serves as the only pathway for a sterilizing agent to enter the container. The foam insert regulates the amount of sterilizing agent reaching the test indicator (col. 7, lines 1-12). At col. 4, lines 44-52, the reference teaches that precise positioning of the plug allows the diffusion to the indicator to be variable, thus providing variable diffusion restriction into the indicator. With respect to claim 4, the plugfilled opening constitutes the diffusion pathway into the container. With respect to claims 5, 11 and 18, Hendricks et al teach the length of the plug to be inserted into the container is adjustable (col. 7, lines 33-57). With respect to claim 7, Hendricks et al teach that the plug may be made of foam or sponge material have multiple pores or cells. With respect to claim 12, the reference teaches that a combination of materials (such as polyurethane, polyester, polyether, cellulose, etc) may be used. Further, the foam density, pore size, cell structure, size, shape and

Application/Control Number: 10/002,038

Art Unit: 1743

amount of foam may be adjusted according to the particular situation (col. 7, lines 13-24; col. 11, lines 19-30), as recited in claims 13, 15 and 19. With respect to the method of assessing sterilization efficacy, Hendricks et al teach determining an environmental parameter (feature), placing the sterility indicator into a sterilizer with other items to be sterilized, making adjustments to the compressible material (such as decreasing the density), conducting the sterilization cycle and determining the efficacy of the sterilization process by observing the chemical or biological indicator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 6, 8-10, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al in view of US Patent 5,801,010 to Falkowski et al.

The disclosure of Hendricks et al is described above. Hendricks et al disclose first and

Application/Control Number: 10/002,038

Art Unit: 1743

second members (50, 51) in a telescoping relationship and having openings. However, this embodiment of Hendricks et al differs from the instantly claimed invention in that there is no disclosure of one member serving as an adjustable cover for the openings.

Falkowski et al teach a sterilization indicator having a container similar to the embodiment of Figure 5 in Hendricks et al. The container of Falkowski et al comprises first and second members (12, 28) that are disposed in a telescoping relationship. Both the first and second member have openings/slots (16, 32) disposed thereon. The openings permit sterilant to penetrate the container and reach the indicator. Once in a closed position, member 28 covers the openings on member 12 and vice versa. Although the reference does not explicitly teach such, the mere operation of the device of Falkowski et al suggests that member 28 serves as an adjustable covering for the openings on member 12 since the openings provide the means by which sterilant reaches the indicator and because members 12 and 28 slide together to form a single unit. See col. 4, lines 34-43, col. 5, lines 23-26, 31-34.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the telescoping member to serve as an adjustable cover for the openings in the device of Falkowski et al to provide a means for restricting the flow of sterilants into the container.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 571-272-1256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lic

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700